Direct Analysis of Gene Synthesis Reactions Using Solid-State Nanopores
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ABSTRACT Synthetic nucleic acids offer rich potential to understand and engineer new cellular functions, yet an unresolved limitation in their production and usage is deleterious products, which restrict design complexity and add cost. Herein, we employ a solid-state nanopore to differentiate molecules of a gene synthesis reaction into categories of correct and incorrect assemblies. This new method offers a solution that provides information on gene synthesis reactions in near-real time with higher complexity and lower costs. This advance can permit insights into gene synthesis reactions such as kinetics monitoring, real-time tuning, and optimization of factors that drive reaction-to-reaction variations as well as open venues between nanopore-sensing, synthetic biology, and DNA nanotechnology.
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Synthetic genes1,2 and genomes3,4 offer a transformative ability to design and create new cellular functions and entire organisms.5 To leverage these programmable DNA elements into their cellular chassis, precise synthetic control of their properties (sequence, length) must be obtained. However, reliable construction of these DNA elements using polymerization,6 restriction enzymes,7 and/or ligation reactions8,9 can be challenging, especially for long formats. Every gene synthesis method faces the challenge of unwanted side products, with the purity of resultant mixture being a function of the assembly method, DNA sequence composition (length, complexity, repeats), quality of input oligonucleotide building blocks, and other factors.10,11

To further increase the complexity of producible synthetic genes and better understand their function, new methods are needed to assess and control their output diversity so that unwanted resultant product formation is minimized. Two major classes of deleterious products that arise during gene synthesis reactions are global structural defects (incomplete assemblies and misassemblies) and small local defects (point mutations, short insertions, and/or deletions). These errors can be identified using laborious procedures such as electrophoresis, cloning, and sequencing, which consequently become major components of the time and cost of gene synthesis. Moreover, as synthesis approaches continue to move toward miniaturization (employing microarrays12 and microfluidic chips13), where reactions are parallelized and costs are lowered, analytical quality-control tools that match smaller scales (μm sizes and nM/pM concentrations) become increasingly valuable.

Solid-state nanopores14 are a new class of sensors that can electronically detect the structure and conformation of single DNA molecules with high throughput (thousands of molecules per minute). A nanopore is a nanometer-scale aperture in a dielectric membrane that separates two ionic solutions. Application of a voltage bias across the membrane induces an ionic current through the nanopore (Figures 1a-b). As single DNA molecules in the ionic solution electrophoretically move through the pore, they temporarily block ion current through the pore. Transient changes in the ionic current can then be used to infer characteristics of the translocating molecules such as length differences,15–17 bound proteins,18,19 epigenetic modifications,20,21 and defects.22 The ability to detect small sample amounts
of nucleic acids without amplification or labeling, as well as the potential of using nanopores to isolate a selected type of molecule, makes nanopores an attractive platform for direct analysis of gene synthesis reactions. Herein, we utilize ultrathin solid-state nanopore sensors23 to directly detect different types of synthetic gene products. We designed, assembled, and interrogated a set of DNA structures that represent major categories of expected defects (dsDNA molecules with single-base mismatches, overhangs, flaps, and Holliday junctions) to train our system and depict differentiation of these structures. We then monitor a gene synthesis reaction at different stages and discretize the reaction products into categories of correct and incorrect assemblies based on ensemble bunching of molecular transport signatures. With further refinement of nanopore-based discrimination among correctly and incorrectly assembled structures and real-time on-the-fly analysis, we envision a true molecular selection process in which correct structures can be identified and enriched.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rapid Detection of Small Defects. De novo gene synthesis reactions can produce a variety of unwanted products such as small sequence defects as well as structural misassemblies. To enable detection of these defects, we first aimed to show that the translocation signatures of correctly assembled, homogeneous DNA molecules would be fundamentally different from those of misassembled synthetic products. First, thin nanopores were fabricated in silicon nitride (SiN) windows as previously described24 with diameters of 2.4–2.6 nm and effective thickness of 4–8 nm (Figure 1a). Nanopores within this diameter range permit unfolded entry and single current blockade levels during electrophoretic translocation.25 The TEM-drilled nanopores were rinsed with hot piranha, cleansed thoroughly with deionized water, vacuum-dried, and mounted into a custom PTFE flow cell that was subsequently filled with an ionic solution (0.40 M KCl, 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Application of a voltage bias across the membrane drove an ionic current through the nanopore, which demonstrated Ohmic behavior (Figure 1b). Upon addition of a small concentration of 70 bp dsDNA homoduplexes (50 nM, IDT Technologies) and application of a voltage bias (200 mV), transient dips in current were observed, signifying translocation of individual DNA molecules through the nanopore (Figure 1c). DNA capture has been shown to be dominated by electrophoresis due to an exponential increase in the capture rate with voltage,26 despite a small negative surface charge present in SiN nanopores, which causes an electroosmotic flow in a direction opposite to DNA translocation.27,28

With the use of custom analysis software, two key parameters were extracted for each translocation event: the dwell time $t_d$ and the current blockade $\Delta I$ (Figure 1d). Plotting the fractional current blockade ($\Delta I/I_0$) versus $t_d$ for 1830 events showed a distribution with an average translocation speed (1 bp/μs) consistent with previous studies24,25 and a single current blockade level with mean amplitude of $\Delta I/I_0 = 0.79 \pm 0.03$ (Figure 2b). Next, we sought to determine the nanopore’s ability to detect small synthetic errors, such as point deletions or insertions, using one strand of the control oligo of “correct” sequence (70 nt) annealed to a “defective” DNA oligo (71 nt), which was synthesized with a single base insertion, as illustrated in Figure 2a. The defective site was located in the center of the mismatch oligo and is expected to cause a small kink in the short, otherwise stiff dsDNA, which we hypothesized would alter the entry kinetics and enable differentiation. Insertion of a small concentration of the mismatch dsDNA species (70/71 bp) into the nanopore setup and application of a voltage bias as before generated 1410 events with a highly similar distribution of $\Delta I/I_0$ against $t_d$ to the correctly matched oligos (Figure 2b). One subtle difference observed between the current blockade distributions of the correct and defective DNA was a small, additional population at lower $\Delta I/I_0$ which could be due to the translocation of misassembled DNA molecules or unsuccessful translocations (collisions) caused by the kink. The dwell time distributions yielded no statistically significant discrepancies between the two molecules, and as such, differentiation of single-base defects would be inherently difficult to detect directly.

We validated the difference between the correctly matched and defective species by incubation...
of both products with a mismatch-binding protein from *Thermus aquaticus* named MutS29 (Taq MutS, 89 kDa), which is a part of the DNA repair pathway in a variety of organisms (Supporting Information Figure 1). Taq MutS possesses an elongated shape (longest dimension about 10–12 nm) and binds to all single base mismatches, insertions or deletions up to four bp long in double stranded DNA. Previously, we demonstrated the use of Taq MutS to discriminate all types of single base mismatches and insertions/deletions of various lengths30 (in one case as much as a 50 bp deletion), and gel shift analysis showed a clear shift for the defective products compared to the correctly matched samples (Supporting Information Figure 1). To further enable detection of small sequence defects on synthetic genes using a solid-state nanopore, the correctly matched 70 bp DNA and mismatch-containing 70/71 bp DNA species were each incubated with the MutS protein (ratio of 2 MutS/5 DNA) for 20 min at 60 °C in 0.40 M KCl, 8 mM MgCl₂ buffer (Figure 2d). Both populations were individually measured using the nanopore setup as before, and the correctly matched dsDNA incubated with MutS demonstrated highly similar τₜ and Δ𝐼/𝐼₀ distributions to the same correctly matched dsDNA population without MutS incubation, indicating little to no interaction between the two species (Figure 2e,f). This observation is consistent with gel shift analysis whereby incubation of the correctly matched dsDNA with MutS did not significantly alter correctly assembled product migration, even with increases in concentration of MutS (Supporting Information Figure 1). In contrast to the correctly matched dsDNA products incubated with MutS, the mismatch products + MutS displayed τₜ distributions that could not be fit to a drift-diffusion model31,32 and Δ𝐼/𝐼₀ distributions did not fit to a single Gaussian distribution (Figure 2e). The current blockade distribution for the mismatch products + MutS showed a similar peak as the 70 bp molecule with an additional shoulder at a lower current blockade, which may be attributed to collisions of the DNA/MutS complex with the pore mouth, or translocation of free oligos and misassembled DNA molecules that also bind MutS. In contrast to the correctly matched dsDNA + MutS, the mismatch dsDNA + MutS also displayed a distorted

![Figure 2. Single mismatch detection using nanopores.](https://www.acsnano.org)

(a) Schematics of two different DNA molecules translocating a nanopore: a homologous 70 bp DNA and a 70/71 bp DNA that contains a single base mismatch at the center. (b) Fractional current blockade Δ𝐼/𝐼₀ and dwell time τₜ histograms for transport through a 2.4 nm diameter nanopore at 200 mV. Insets: Scatter plots of Δ𝐼/𝐼₀ vs τₜ show similar translocation populations for the two samples. (c) Concatenated, consecutive events of each analyte further demonstrates difficulty detecting the single mismatch (traces low-pass filtered at 200 kHz). (d) Illustration of each sample passing through a 2.6 nm pore after incubation with mismatch-binding protein MutS. (e) Histograms of current blockade (both fit to a double Gaussian model) show a clear shoulder develops at a lower current blockade in the 70/71 bp DNA when incubated with MutS. In addition, the dwell time histogram for 70/71 bp has a drastically different shape than that for 70 bp, indicating an altered transport process (fit using a single exponential function). Insets: Scatter plots of each sample show an increase in longer dwell time events in 70/71 bp, suggesting stripping of MutS during translocation. (f) Concatenated, consecutive events for each analyte exemplify differences between two samples (traces low-pass filtered at 200 kHz).
dwell time distribution that was fit to a single exponential with mean time scale of $t_d = 829 \pm 60 \mu s$, compared to a mean $t_d$ of $524 \pm 47 \mu s$ for the correctly matched dsDNA (Figure 2e,f). The increase in dwell times can be attributed to weak DNA–protein interactions that stall the complex inside the pore until dissociation, which consequently enable the detection of single-base mismatches and enumeration of defective species among a population. To ensure that the increase in dwell time we observed is not due to MutS being trapped near the pore, we analyzed the power spectral densities of the open pore current for DNA translocations of each sample, with and without MutS, and found that all the spectra had similar noise characteristics that differed greatly from when MutS clogs the nanopore (Supporting Information Figure 2).

**Differentiation of Structural Defects.** In addition to small sequence defects, *de novo* gene synthesis reactions generate a range of incomplete or structurally misassembled products. We designed and assembled a set of DNA structures intended to represent the major categories of expected defects: overhangs, flaps, and Holliday junctions (Figure 3a). For ease of construction, we generated populations of each of these types of defects directly from synthetic oligonucleotides (35 and 70 nt) by thermal annealing, without any enzymatic steps, and verified their formation using gel electrophoresis (Supporting Information Figure 3). Each type of population was inserted into the nanopore setup and compared to a correctly paired 70 bp dsDNA reference molecule constructed from the same oligos. Figure 3b,c shows representative current traces of each type of molecule translocating through the nanopore and their corresponding $t_d$ histograms compared to those of the 70 bp dsDNA control (see heat map contours of $\Delta I/I_0$ vs $t_d$ in Supporting Information Figure 3). The 70 bp dsDNA reference molecules showed relatively low scatter with highly repeatable transport dynamics when translocating through the nanopore, in stark contrast to the defective molecules. The overhang molecule (blue in Figure 3), which is composed of a 70 nt oligo annealed to a 35 nt oligo, forms a double-stranded structure with a single-stranded end. On average, the overhang molecules migrated through the pore faster and with a lower current blockade, which agrees with its smaller average diameter compared with the dsDNA. As seen in Figure 3c, the dwell time histogram contains two populations, which can be ascribed

**Figure 3.** Gene synthesis misassemblies have unique translocation profiles. (a) Illustrations of four common products of gene synthesis by polymerase chain assembly: dsDNA (desired product), overhangs, flaps, and Holliday junctions. (b) Concatenated event traces of each product for translocation through a 2.5 nm nanopore (traces low-pass filtered at 100 kHz to show the complex number of current levels for the events). (c) Log-dwell time histograms for each product show distinct transport “fingerprints” for each species ($N =$ number of events).
to unbound oligos (most likely the faster population) and correctly paired overhang complexes. This result is consistent with gel electrophoresis assays, where two populations were observed (Supporting Information Figure 3) and consisted of an unpaired ssDNA oligo and the correctly assembled overhang structure. The flap molecule (green in Figure 3), which is composed of a 70 nt oligo annealed to a 35 nt oligo and another 70 nt oligo, contains a 70 bp dsDNA body with a 35 nt ssDNA overhang in the center. The flap sample, similarly to the overhang, yielded two distinct dwell time populations, which is also in agreement with gel electrophoresis results, whereby free oligos and properly constructed molecules were detected. However, the flap molecules generated on average longer dwell times than the overhang molecule, which was also expected given its added bulk when compared to the nanopore diameter. The last structural defect studied was a synthetically constructed Holliday junction (red in Figure 3), which consists of four dsDNA strands that connect via Watson–Crick base-pairing (Supporting Information Figure 3) to form a four-armed molecule.36 Holliday junctions are the primary elements used to create DNA origami structures and typically act as scaffolds for assembly of more complex shapes and structures.37

Figure 3b,c highlights how Holliday junctions yield long dwell time, multilevel translocation events and a large breadth in dwell time, which can be ascribed to extreme bending or breaking of the molecule to transport through the small nanopore. Many translocation events of the Holliday junction sample, as seen in Figure 3b, begin with a moderate current blockade and terminate with a deeper current blockade, indicating the initial capture of one strand of the Holliday junction (moderate $\Delta I$) followed by the rupturing of the complex leading to several DNA strands occupying the pore at once (deep $\Delta I$). With the use of these unique translocation signatures, an algorithm could be developed to analyze and differentiate correctly assembled gene synthesis products from various misassembled products.

In addition to discriminating between these samples by dwell time differences, we quantified their capture rates using the time between successive events, or the interevent time. When fitting interevent time histograms of each sample to single exponential functions, we found that the normalized capture rate for the 70 bp sample was highest, the overhang and flap samples had slightly lower capture rates, and the Holliday junction sample had by far the lowest capture rate (see Table 1 and Supporting Information Figure 4). Notably, these capture rates were normalized using concentration units of ng/μL because each sample contains impurities in the form of free oligos or incomplete assemblies as seen in gel electrophoresis assays (Supporting Information Figure 3). These impurities, which skew the true capture rate of each misassembly, make quantifying the percentage purity of a gene synthesis product (containing a mixture of these misassemblies along with correct assemblies) very difficult. Despite this, recognizing the presence of the correct gene assembly is still possible, as described in detail below.

### Table 1. Capture Rates of Gene Synthesis Misassemblies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\lambda_c$ (s$^{-1}$ (ng/μL)$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70 bp</td>
<td>54.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhang</td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flap</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holliday</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Discrimination between Correctly Assembled Gene Products and Misassembled Gene Products.* As a case study, we synthesized a gene for HIV Protease (HIVPr) from small building blocks (oligonucleotides) using polymerase construction and amplification (PCA), wherein the oligonucleotides themselves act as both template and primers58 (see Methods). HIVPr is a protease that is required for the human immunodeficiency virion to remain infectious and sequence variants of the gene can confer resistance to drug inhibitors of the enzyme.39,40 The synthetic gene products were analyzed on a gel (Supporting Information Figure 5) and showed both correctly assembled products (524 bp) and incorrectly assembled products (such as oligos, intermediate assemblies of shorter length, and as longer fragment). A fraction of the as-synthesized products (6 ng/μL) were analyzed using the nanopore setup and transient blockades in current were observed as before (Figure 4). Inspection of the distribution of the current blockade versus translocation time showed a significantly larger spread of $\Delta I/I_c$ and $t_d$ values compared to homogeneous products of similar size (500 bp, Fisher Scientific), indicating the solution contained a range of DNA sizes and structures (blue in Figure 4). This result agrees well with the gel that showed both smaller molecular weight species (assembly intermediates) below the product bands as well as larger products such as dimers and trimers (Supporting Information Figure 5). In contrast to larger diameter solid-state nanopores, where high translocation speed and DNA self-interaction/folding induce signal scatter that precludes definitive assignment of molecular features such as length, small-diameter nanopores (<3 nm) produce narrow distributions of $t_d$ values,24 which enable accurate identification of errors. The increase in the range of the distribution of current blockades can be ascribed to fast oligo and incomplete assembly translocations, collisions with the pore, and multilevel translocation events (Figure 3b), such as flaps or Holliday junctions migrating through the nanopore. With the use of the signatures of homogeneous populations of synthetic defects (overhangs, flaps, and Holliday junctions) and reference dsDNA with similar size (500 bp) as classifiers for detection in the synthetic gene population, direct detection of...
Figure 4. Nanopore-based monitoring of gene synthesis products for the HIV-Protease gene (524 bp) with and without additional PCR purification. (a) Scatter plot of current blockade \( \Delta I/I_o \) versus dwell time \( t_d \) for reference 500 bp dsDNA products (black), as-synthesized HIVPr synthetic genes (blue), and PCR-cleaned HIVPr synthetic gene products (red). (b) The HIV-Protease gene product as synthesized (blue) shows a wide spread in current blockade \( \Delta I/I_o \), when compared to homogeneous products (black). PCR-cleanup of the HIVPr synthetic genes (red) improved the fraction of events that resemble correctly assembled genes, but did not remove many incorrect or misassembled synthesis products. (c) Comparison of the dwell times \( t_d \) for the homogeneous products to the as-synthesized (blue) and PCR-purified samples (red) shows significant variation that can be ascribed to both pure and impure synthetic gene products. Light blue shaded regions depict potential thresholds for selecting correct gene assemblies using a nanopore-based on-the-fly “accept or reject” protocol.

CONCLUSIONS

De novo gene synthesis has tremendous potential to design and develop combinations of new or altered genes, gene regulatory networks and pathways, as well as chromosomes and genomes for new cellular functions. While gene synthesis is an incredibly powerful tool, a significant limitation preventing large-scale and low-cost production has been the removal of unwanted side products. Since gene synthesis techniques are rapidly moving in the direction of array-based synthesis\(^{41}\) where oligo costs and concentrations are lower, new tools need to be developed that can operate on these scales.\(^{42}\)
An ultrathin, small-diameter nanopore system was employed to detect different types of synthetic gene products and characterize a conventional gene synthesis reaction at different stages (before and after PCR cleanup). Using this approach, we discretize the reaction products into categories of correct and incorrect assemblies and speculate that an algorithm could be devised for isolation and amplification of correct gene assemblies. The new method described herein offers a potential solution to provide information on gene synthesis reactions with higher complexity, lower costs, and duration, as opposed to after the reaction is complete. This advance may facilitate monitoring of reaction kinetics, real-time tuning, and understanding of factors that drive reaction-to-reaction variations, which will further our ability to engineer gene synthesis and DNA nanotechnology complexity. Since nanopore systems can easily be integrated with micro- and nanofluidic systems, which have been used for single-molecule sorting, the possibility of constructing the purification of molecules from a pool of mostly defective products in an automated fashion-off-chip and with concentrations far below those required for current electrophoresis techniques, makes them attractive options for future research. Thus, using nanopore-based monitoring to enhance gene synthesis product purity can facilitate the fabrication of constructs that perform new cellular functions such as complex sensing, computation, and actuation, which will have a broad impact on biomedicine and biotechnology.

**METHODS**

Fabrication and Characterization of Ultrathin Nanopore Devices. Silicon nitride (SiN) membranes were fabricated using photolithography along with dry and wet etching steps as explained in previous work. An additional SF2 plasma-etch step was used to thin the SiN membranes to a total thickness of 15–20 nm for nanopore experiments. All experimental data was collected with a sampling rate of 4.167 MHz using the Chimera Instruments VC100 (New York, NY), which allows the detection of events as fast as 2.5 μs when low-pass filtering at 200 kHz. Nanopore data collected for the single mismatch molecules (Figure 2), isolated misassembled products (Figure 3), and gene synthesis products (Figure 4) were low-pass filtered at 200, 400, and 300 kHz, respectively, before event analysis. Prior to addition of DNA, pores were deemed fit for translocation experiments by ramping voltage from −300 to 300 mV and observing an Ohmic relationship between current and voltage (i.e., linear IV curve).

**Gene Synthesis Reactions.** The HIV protease (HIVpr) synthetic gene was assembled using 22 construction oligos (a table of oligo sequences is provided in Supporting Information Figure 3) in a 50 μL PCR reaction using KOD Hot Start polymerase reagents (EMD Millipore/Novagen). Reactions contained 1× KOD buffer, 1.5 mM MgSO4, 0.2 mM dNTP, 1 unit KOD polymerase, 0.5 μM HIVpr-B22 primer (CCGCCTCTCCGGCGAGTTCTCCTCTCAGGAAAAAACCCCTAA), 0.5 μM HIVpr-T1 primer (ATGAATCGGCCAACGTCCGGCGTAGAGGCGAAATTAATACGACCTCATTAGGGAGG), and 1 μM of each construction oligo. The initial PCR reaction was cycled 30 times (95 °C for 20 s/72 °C for 30 s) followed by a 5 min extension at 72 °C and cooling to 12 °C. An aliquot of the reaction was diluted 1:1000 prior to being used in a secondary PCR amplification. The secondary PCR amplification for the HIVpr DNA was performed in a 100 μL reaction using 1 μL of the initial PCA template, 0.5 μM of primers HIVpr-B22 and HIVpr-T1, and Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs, M0311). This extension was heated to 98 °C for 45 s, then cycled 30 times (98 °C for 45 s/55 °C for 45 s/72 °C for 45 s), finishing with a 5 min extension at 72 °C and cooling to 4 °C. Aliquots of both reactions were purified using the QIagen Gel Extraction Kit as per manufacturers protocol, eluting in water. DNA was quantitated via Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Primers were synthesized at 100 nM scale (iDT), and HPLC purified. Primer annealing for different DNA structures and mismatches was performed by mixing 2 μM of each primer, 25 mM NaCl, 5 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, and 8 mM MgCl2. Samples analyzed on TBE–urea gels were heated to 70 °C for 3 min in 2× TBE–urea sample buffer (Life Technologies) prior to loading. After electrophoresis, gels were rinsed for 30 s in water prior to immersion staining for 10 min in water and SYBR-Gold DNA stain (1:10,000 dilution; Life Technologies). Gels were rinsed for 30 s in water prior to exposure to UV light and photo documentation with Gel Doc camera and software.

**Analysis of DNA Translocations.** All nanopore data was analyzed using Python, a custom Python-based analysis software designed by Robert Y. Henley in the Wannunu lab (github.com/ rhenley/Pyth-Ion). Gaussian and 1D drift-diffusion fits of histograms were computed using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics).
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